

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DARREL MOORE,

Plaintiff,

Civ. No. 06-1080-CL

v.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,  
Commissioner of Social Security,

**ORDER**

Defendant.

---

**PANNER, District Judge:**

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed Findings and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, plaintiff objects to the Findings and Recommendation.


I have, therefore, given this matter de novo review. I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Findings and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Clarke.

**CONCLUSION**

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Findings and Recommendation (#22) is adopted. The Commissioner's decision is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1 day of Nov., 2007.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Owen M. Panner", written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE